

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 13 2005

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SHUIYING LIANG,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-74671

Agency No. A95-311-294

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 5, 2005^{**}

Before: GOODWIN, W. FLETCHER, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Shuiying Liang, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order summarily affirming the Immigration Judge's ("IJ") order denying her applications for asylum, withholding

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

of removal, and relief under the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review adverse credibility findings for substantial evidence, *Chebchoub v. INS*, 257 F.3d 1038, 1042 (9th Cir. 2001), and we deny the petition for review.

The IJ denied relief on the ground that Liang was not credible. The record does not compel a contrary conclusion. *See id.* Accordingly, Liang failed to establish eligibility for asylum or withholding of removal. *See Farah v. Ashcroft*, 348 F.3d 1153, 1156 (9th Cir. 2003). Liang’s CAT claim also fails because it was based on the same evidence that the IJ found to be not credible. *See id.* at 1157.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.